

REMARKS

The only issue outstanding in the Office Action mailed August 7, 2008, are the objection to Claim 6 and the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112. Reconsideration of these issues, in view of the following discussion, is respectfully requested.

Rejection Under 37 C.F.R.. § 1.75(c)

Claim 6 has been rejected to as being of improper dependent form. Inasmuch as Claim 6 was duplicative of Claim 1, it has been cancelled. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 1.12

Claims 1, 3-6 and 9-16 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 1.12, second paragraph. Reconsideration of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 9 and 10 have been clarified in order to indicate that they are “free of organic solvent” as disclosed at page 3 of the Specification. In view of this clarifying amendment, it is submitted that the Claims fully satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 1.12, and withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

The Claims of the application are submitted to be in condition for allowance. However, if the Examiner has any questions or comments, she is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned at the number below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,

/Harry B. Shubin/

Harry B. Shubin, Reg. No. 32,004
Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO
& BRANIGAN, P.C.
Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1, Suite 1400
2200 Clarendon Boulevard
Arlington, Virginia 22201
Telephone: (703) 243-6333
Facsimile: (703) 243-6410

Attorney Docket No.: MERCK-2168-D1

Date: November 5, 2008